

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/037,548	01/04/2002	Lev Korenevsky		7129
7:	590 08/22/2005		EXAMINER	
LEV KOREN 250 174st. #110			,	
MIAMI BEACH, FL 33160			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER

DATE MAILED: 08/22/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

h

Application No. Applicant(s) Notification of Non-Compliant Appeal Brief KORENEVSKY, LEV 10/037.548 (37 CFR 41.37) Examiner **Art Unit** Shay L. Balsis 1744

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address--

The Appeal Brief filed on 15 July 2005 is defective for failure to comply with one or more provisions of 37 CFR 41.37.

To avoid dismissal of the appeal, applicant must file a complete new brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 within ONE MONTH or THIRTY DAYS from the mailing date of this Notification, whichever is longer. EXTENSIONS OF THIS TIME PERIOD MAY BE GRANTED UNDER 37 CFR 1.136.

1. 🗌	The brief does not contain the items required under 37 CFR 41.37(c), or the items are not under the proper heading or in the proper order.
2. 🗌	The brief does not contain a statement of the status of all claims, (e.g., rejected, allowed or confirmed, withdrawn, objected to, canceled), or does not identify the appealed claims (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(iii)).
3. 🗌	At least one amendment has been filed subsequent to the final rejection, and the brief does not contain a statement of the status of each such amendment (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(iv)).
4.	(a) The brief does not contain a concise explanation of the subject matter defined in each of the independent claims involved in the appeal, referring to the specification by page and line number and to the drawings, if any, by reference characters; and/or (b) the brief fails to: (1) identify, for each independent claim involved in the appeal and for each dependent claim argued separately, every means plus function and step plus function under 35 U.S.C. 112, sixth paragraph, and/or (2) set forth the structure, material, or acts described in the specification as corresponding to each claimed function with reference to the specification by page and line number, and to the drawings, if any, by reference characters (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(v)).
5. 🗌	The brief does not contain a concise statement of each ground of rejection presented for review (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(vi))
6. 🗌	The brief does not present an argument under a separate heading for each ground of rejection on appeal (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(vii)).
7. 🗆	The brief does not contain a correct copy of the appealed claims as an appendix thereto (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(viii)).
8. 🗌	The brief does not contain copies of the evidence submitted under 37 CFR 1.130, 1.131, or 1.132 or of any other evidence entered by the examiner and relied upon by appellant in the appeal, along with a statement setting forth where in the record that evidence was entered by the examiner, as an appendix thereto (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(ix)).
9. 🗌	The brief does not contain copies of the decisions rendered by a court or the Board in the proceeding identified in the Related Appeals and Interferences section of the brief as an appendix thereto (37 CFR 4.1.37(c)(1)(x)).
10.🛛	Other (including any explanation in support of the above items):

Under the "Arguments" section, the arguments need to correspond to the rejections listed under "Grounds of Rejection to Reviewed on Appeal". For example, the Rejection under 35 U.S.C. 102 (b), anticipated by Newman is listed under the Grounds of Rejections as Claim 1-4, 6 and 8 however under the Arguments the Claims listed are 1-3 and 6. Same for the rejection of Dezen. The claims under the Grounds of Rejection are Claims 1-4 and 6 however under the Arguments the Claims listed are 1-3 and 6. Applicant is asked to be consistent throughout the entire Brief. The Examiner called Applicant on Friday August 5th and left a message. The Examiner wanted to explain the matter over the phone and see if this could be fixed quickly by fax however no response was made to message left.

> MARK SPISICH PRIMARY EXAMINER **GROUP 3400-**